RECEIVED

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

JAN 3 1 2014

FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

Clerk, U.S. District and Bankruptcy Courts

UNITED STATES, ex rel. LANDIS)	
Plaintiffs, v. TAILWIND SPORTS CORP., LANCE ARMSTRONG, et al. Defendants) Mc	Action # 10-00976 (RLW) otion For Leave To File nicus Curiae Brief And atement Of Interest

Motion for Leave to File Amicus Curiae Brief and Statement of Interest; filed by FRED WOODROW MAUNEY Jr., pro se

Relief Sought

Pursuant to the rule(s) granted in the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Fred Woodrow Mauney Jr., pro se, moves for permission to file the amicus curiae brief attached to this motion, and requests that he, the Movant, be granted the leeway and latitude granted to a pro se person as prescribed under F.R.C.P. Rule 8.

Movant

Movant; Fred W. Mauney Jr. is a paralegal investigator and a "proven horse in the field " having successfully filed an amicus brief in the case United States of America vs Pete J. Buffo in the United States District Court, District of Utah, Central Division, case no. 95-cr-2085, which led to Pete J. Buffo being rearrested for running a Ponzi scheme while out on bond, ultimately the court was able to retrieve and refund over 1,000 investors some 33 million dollars. See: Movant's, Exhibit: A

Movant-Fred Mauney

Fred Woodrow Mauney Jr., pro se, is the Movant in this Motion for Leave to file an Amicus Curie Brief. As a pro se individual he asks that he be given the leeway and latitude as indicated in the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

Movant, Fred Woodrow Mauney Jr., became embroiled with the U S Postal Service by helping solve the murder of U S Postal worker Lee Wayne Parker in 1999 who was murdered while on his way to work. Movant provided information that led to the arrest and conviction of Jose Garcia-Miramontes. Subsequently Movant has investigated and documented corruption, fraud and misconduct within the USPS, The US Postal Inspection Service and the USPS-OIG over the posted reward offer (that is posted in all U S post offices) and their refusal to pay said reward.

This investigation ended up running parallel with an investigation by Movant of Tailwind Sports, Lance Armstrong and the United States Postal Service sponsorship.

Question: Why would the USPS go to such lengths as not to pay a bonafide reward claim of \$100,000.00 while at the same time entering into a sponsorship deal worth millions of dollars with a sport as scandalous as bicycling?

This Amicus Brief will document the misconduct, corruption, and fraud of all the parties involved, (including the USPS, USP Inspection Service and the USPS-OIG), in the Landis whistleblower case. Crimes that some are still denying to this day.

This amicus brief will document Fraud Upon The (This) Court. And will also nullify defendant's claims of statute of limitations and will demand a criminal investigation of all parties involved.

This amicus brief will be like the security guard that discovered the break in at the Democratic Party headquarters in the Watergate complex which eventually led to the resignation of Richard M Nixon, President of the United States.

Violations and criminal acts of United States Law

USC Code 5 Governmental Ethics

U. S. C. Title: 18 Crimes and Punishment

CHAPTER 11—BRIBERY, GRAFT, AND CONFLICTS OF INTEREST (§§ 201–227)

CHAPTER 19—CONSPIRACY (§§ 371–373)

CHAPTER 47—FRAUD AND FALSE STATEMENTS (§§ 1001–1040)

CHAPTER 63—MAIL FRAUD AND OTHER FRAUD OFFENSES (§§ 1341-1351)

Statement of Interest

Movant, Fred W. Mauney Jr., has been doing an investigation of the United States Postal Service, Tailwind Sports and Lance Armstrong, that started back in 1999 after I helped solve the murder of one postal employee, Lee Wayne Parker, in Salt Lake City, Utah and was not paid the reward for information leading to the arrest and conviction as posted by the USPS. Exhibit: <u>B</u>. During these last 15 years my investigation also included an investigation of Tailwind Sports and Lance Armstrong as to why the USPS was sponsoring a bicycle team to race in foreign countries but would not pay a promised reward, to a citizen here in the United States. See Movant's, Exhibit: <u>C</u>

My investigations have been like two trains running on parallel tracks and have unearthed corruption and fraud by the United States Postal Service, the U S Postal Inspection Service and the USPS- Inspector General's office.

This Amicus Brief will demonstrate a prima facie case, by court fillings, complaints and other documentation listed in exhibits.

- that the USPS not only sponsored Tailwind Sports, Lance Armstrong and others, but knew about said fraud (DOPING) and participated by running cover and acting as a shield by letting the Defendants hide behind the good name of the United States of America via The United States Postal Service,
- that they were involved in some forms of embezzlement and Quid Pro Quo/Bribery Arrangements until the heat got too much for them. This will be more detailed in the Amicus Brief.
-That Thomas (Thom) Wiesel, was and still is, acting as a Mafia Don (Godfather) running a criminal crime family enterprise.
- that there is an ongoing conspiracy to cover up the facts and that Thom Wiesel and other participants in this fraud are still benefitting. Since it is still an ongoing crime it will nullify any Statute of Limitation claims.

Let it be said to this court that Movant Fred W. Mauney Jr. made several trips to see renowned Constitutional Law Professor William W. Van Alstyne, who has filed Amicus briefs with the United States Supreme Court and has been cited by said court, and who has professor emeritus status at two major law schools, Duke and William and Mary. Upon viewing some of the documentation that Movant has acquired in this investigation Professor Van Alstyne's answer, was, that there was "Misconduct" in the mentioned postal offices, (which translates to Movant that there is corruption and fraud), and that anyone who wishes to contact him can do so and he will attest to this statement. See Movant's, Exhibits: D, E and F.

United States Codes Violated

This amicus brief will also address the United States Codes Violated by the Defendants and, as yet to be named co-defendants. Such as, but not limited to, U. S. C. Title 5; Government Employees (Ethics), U. S. C. Title 31; Money and Finance, U. S. C. Title 39; United States Postal Service and U. S. C Title 18; Crimes and Punishment.

FRAUD UPON THE COURT

Movant further believes that this amicus brief will also demonstrate "Fraud Upon This Court", and that the Honor and Integrity of this court, as well as that of the United States of America, is at stake here, and that this amicus curiae brief should be accepted in the interest of justice.

Respectively Submitted this Date: 7

By Moyant

Fred Woodrow Mauney, Jr.

401 W 24th Street

Charlotte, North Carolina 28206

Cell: 202.413.8578

E-mail: akathephoenix@yahoo.com